UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,850	07/03/2003	John W. Robinson	BFGRP0313USB	6883
53428 DON W. BULS	7590 03/01/200 SON (GOODRICH)	EXAMINER		
RENNER, OT	ro, boisselle & sk	SELLERS, ROBERT E		
1621 EUCLID 19TH FLOOR	AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAND, OH 44115			1712	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/612,850	ROBINSON ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Robert Sellers	1712			

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-Adminion	Aitoille	,				
	Robert Sellers	1712					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 20 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.					
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action: or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		cotca ciaims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			•				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3, 8, 9, 11 and 30.	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ wil vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	It before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application ir	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other:							
		Robert Sellers Primary Examiner					
	•	Art Unit: 1712					

Application/Control Number: 10/612,850

Art Unit: 1712

1. The 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph rejection remains valid since the claimed

curable composition comprising at least one epoxy resin embraces monoepoxide

species as listed on page 6, line 30 to page 7, line 1 not within the described in general

compound containing more than one 1,2-epoxy group capable of being converted to a

cured state according to page 5, line 29 to page 6, line 2. A compound having a single

epoxy group cannot react with a curing agent to form a cured composition since the

reaction of the single epoxy group with a curing agent cannot yield a two- or

three-dimensional cured network.

2. Minamisawa et al. Patent No. 4,500,660 and Japanese Patent No. 1-60679

disclose carboxyl-terminated butadiene-acrylonitrile copolymers (CTBN's) within

requiring a solid state. Minamisawa et al. in column 3, lines 19-24 teaches CTBN's to

be reacted with an epoxy resin to be in liquid form "to facilitate the reaction with the

epoxy resin and to provide a prepreg of good quality." It would have been obvious to

provide the CTBN's of Minamisawa et al. and the Japanese patent in liquid form

equivalent to that reacted with the epoxy resin to form the adduct in order to facilitate

the reaction with the bisphenol A epoxy resin (A) and to provide a prepreg of good

quality.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Sellers whose telephone number is (571) 272-1093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

re

2/272007

ROBERT E.L. SELLERS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 2